Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 243 (1983) C87-C88 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne — Printed in The Netherlands

Preliminary communication

THE REACTION OF $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_4]$ WITH ALKYL ISOCYANIDES; $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNR)_4]$ (R = Me OR Et)

GERARD MCNALLY, PAUL T. MURRAY and A.R. MANNING*

Department of Chemistry, University College, Belfield, Dublin 4 (Ireland)

(Received November 17th, 1982)

Summary

In boiling xylene [Fe₂(η -C₅H₅)₂(CO)₄] reacts with RNC (R = Me, Et) to give [Fe₂(η -C₅H₅)₂(CNR)₂(μ -CNR)₂] complexes, which appear to exist as mixtures of non-interconverting isomers at room temperature and which have very basic N atoms in the μ -CNR ligands.

Recently it has been reported that $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_4]$ reacts with aryl isocyanides in boiling toluene to give $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNAr)_4]$ [1]. This prompts us to report our similar studies on the reactions of alkyl isocyanides with $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_4]$ and some of the properties of the derivatives thus obtained.

The reactions of $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_4]$ with MeNC (mole ratio 1/8) in boiling xylene ultimately gives a mixture of $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)(CNMe)_3]$ and $[Fe_2-(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNMe)_4]$ (ca. 1/5) after ca. 3 h. The hot solution was filtered, and an equal volume of heptane added to the filtrate. On cooling, this mixture deposited black crystals and a light-brown powder, both of which analysed reasonably well as $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNMe)_4]$. The combined yields were 50–60%. $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNEt)_4]$ was obtained similarly as a red oil. With PhCH₂NC, substitution proceeds no further than $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_2(CNCH_2Ph)_2]$. These reactions proceed via detectable amounts of $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_{4-n}(CNR)_n]$ (n=1-3) as intermediates. In this respect they differ from the reaction of o-MeC₆H₄NC, which we have found to proceed directly to $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2-(CNC_6H_4Me-o)_4]$. Identical behaviour has been observed for other aryl isocyanides by Albers et al. [1].

The IR spectrum of the black crystals of $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNMe)_4]$ shows intense absorption bands at 1640 and 2140 cm⁻¹ due respectively to the $\nu(CN)$ vibrations of bridging and terminal MeNC ligands of $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNMe)_2$ -

 $(\mu\text{-CNMe})_2$] molecules. In CHCl₃ solution, that at ca. 2200 cm⁻¹ has an asymmetric envelope which indicates that either a mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomers or just the *cis*-isomer is present. The ¹H NMR spectra discussed below support the second alternative.

The ¹H NMR of the black crystals of $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNMe)_4]$ in CDCl₃ solution (25°C) show singlet resonances at δ 2.96, 3.84, and 4.68 ppm (intensity ratio 6/6/10) attributable to t-MeNC, μ -MeNC and C_5H_5 protons, respectively. At lower temperatures (-50°C) the last broadens. These observations suggest that either the cis- or the trans-isomer only is present, that it does not undergo terminal-bridging MeNC exchange, and that it does not isomerise to the other isomer. The low temperature broadening may be due to inversion at the N atoms of the μ -ligands [2]. The possibility that both cis- and trans-isomers are present with coincident resonances is unlikely. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the brown powder form of $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNMe)_2]$ (CDCl₃, $25^{\circ}C$) shows the three resonances found for the black form together with two others at δ 3.53 and 4.60 ppm. It is tempting to suggest that these are due to the second isomer which does undergo fluxional terminal-bridging MeNC exchange without cis/ trans-isomerism. On the basis of arguments put forward for $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2 (CO)_4$ [3] we tentatively suggest that the black isomer is the cis-, and that the other is the trans-isomer.

The μ -MeNC ligands in $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_{4-n}(CNMe)_n]$ (n=1-3) are basic and readily attacked by electrophiles. The same is true in our compound where n=4. However whereas when n=2 or 3 MeI alkylates only one μ -CNMe to give $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)(CNMe)(\mu-L)(\mu-CNMe_2)]^+$ I^- (L=CO or CNMe) [4], $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNR)_4]$ give mono- or di-alkylated species depending on both R and the reagent. Thus when R=Me or Et the dimers react with one molar equivalent of R'I (R'=Me or Et) forming $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNR)_2(\mu-CNR)-\{\mu-CN(R)R'\}]$ I and with an excess of R'I to give $[Fe_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CNR)_2\{\mu-CN-(R)R'\}_2]$ I_2 . This is consistent with the earlier observations that the basicity of μ -CNMe increases as n increases [2]. On the other hand when R=o-MeC $_6$ H $_4$ the μ -CNR ligands are far less basic and MeI will only alkylate one of them, but both may be alkylated by MeSO $_3$ F.

References

¹ N.J. Coville, M.O. Albers, and E. Singleton, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1982) 1389.

² R.D. Adams and F.A. Cotton, Inorg. Chem., 13 (1974) 249.

³ R.D. Adams and F.A. Cotton, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 6589.

⁴ S. Willis, A.R. Manning, and F.S. Stephens, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1980) 186.